Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/891,472	HONGO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald R. Valentine	1742
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Donald R. Valentine</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Nils Pederson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part i.		:
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13-16  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims omitted from the applicant's last amendment to be inserted.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sid	nature – it appropriate)